Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## Bis(pyrazine-2-carboxylato- $\kappa^2 N^1$ , $O^2$ )nickel(II) dihydrate

#### Lujiang Hao\* and Tongli Yu

College of Food and Biological Engineering, Shandong Institute of Light Industry, Jinan 250353, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: lujianghao001@yahoo.com.cn

Received 16 July 2007; accepted 21 August 2007

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 293 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.005 Å; R factor = 0.039; wR factor = 0.096; data-to-parameter ratio = 11.7.

In the title compound,  $[Ni(C_5H_3N_2O_2)_2]\cdot 2H_2O$ , the Ni<sup>II</sup> cation is four-coordinated by two N and two O atoms belonging to two pyrazine-2-carboxylate ligands. The Ni<sup>II</sup> atom occupies a special position at a centre of symmetry. Hydrogen bonds between water molecules, and between water molecules and carboxylate O atoms, stabilize the crystal structure.

#### **Related literature**

For related literature, see: Church & Halvorson (1959); Chung *et al.* (1971); Okabe & Oya (2000); Serre *et al.* (2005); Pocker & Fong (1980); Scapin *et al.* (1997).



a = 5.5576 (6) Å

b = 7.3252 (9) Å c = 9.3021 (11) Å

#### **Experimental**

```
 \begin{aligned} &\alpha = 75.065 \ (2)^{\circ} \\ &\beta = 84.298 \ (2)^{\circ} \\ &\gamma = 71.503 \ (2)^{\circ} \\ &V = 346.93 \ (7) \ \text{\AA}^{3} \\ &Z = 1 \end{aligned}
```

#### Data collection

Bruker APEX II CCD area-
detector diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Bruker, 2001)
$T_{\min} = 0.870, \ T_{\max} = 0.870$

#### Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.039$   $wR(F^2) = 0.096$  S = 1.001208 reflections 103 parameters 3 restraints 1705 measured reflections 1208 independent reflections 1111 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.050$ 

).870

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement  $\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.54 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$  $\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.51 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 

## Table 1 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	<i>D</i> -H	Н∙∙∙А	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$O1W - H2W \cdots O1W^{i}$	0.83 (5)	2.52 (6)	3.071 (9)	125 (6)
$O1W - H1W \cdots O2^{ii}$	0.84 (2)	2.11 (3)	2.941 (4)	167 (7)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, -y, -z + 1; (ii) x, y, z - 1.

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2004); cell refinement: *SAINT-Plus* (Bruker, 2001); data reduction: *SAINT-Plus*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 2001); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: KP2122).

#### References

- Bruker (2001). SAINT-Plus, SADABS and SHELXTL. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2004). APEX2. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Chung, L., Rajan, K. S., Merdinger, E. & Crecz, N. (1971). *Biophys. J.* 11, 469–475.
- Church, B. S. & Halvorson, H. (1959). Nature (London), 183, 124-125.
- Okabe, N. & Oya, N. (2000). Acta Cryst. C56, 1416-1417.
- Pocker, Y. & Fong, C. T. O. (1980). Biochemistry, 19, 2045-2049.
- Scapin, G., Reddy, S. G., Zheng, R. & Blanchard, J. S. (1997). Biochemistry, 36, 15081–15088.
- Serre, C., Marrot, J. & Ferey, G. (2005). Inorg. Chem. 44, 654-658.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97 and SHELXS97. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  $\mu = 1.43 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

 $0.10 \times 0.10 \times 0.10$  mm

T = 293 (2) K

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2007). E63, m2415 [doi:10.1107/S1600536807041268]

## Bis(pyrazine-2-carboxylato- $\kappa^2 N^1, O^2$ )nickel(II) dihydrate

### L. Hao and T. Yu

#### Comment

In recent years carboxylic acids have been widely used as polydentate ligands, which can coordinate to transition or rare earth ions yielding complexes with interesting properties that are useful in materials science (Church & Halvorson, 1959; Chung *et al.*, 1971) and in biological systems (Okabe & Oya, 2000; Serre *et al.*, 2005; Pocker & Fong, 1980; Scapin *et al.*, 1997). Herein, we report the synthesis and X-ray crystal structure analysis of the title compound bis(pyrazine-2-carboxylato)nickel(II) hydrate (Fig. 1). The nickel cation is tetra-coordinated by two O and two N atoms belonging to two pyrazine-2-carboxylate. Hydrogen bonds between symmetry operated water molecules, and water molecule and carboxylate oxygen atom stablize the crystal structure (Table 1 and Fig. 2).

#### Experimental

The 8 ml etanol solution of nickel acetate (0.5 mmol), pyrazine-2-carboxylic acid (1.0 mmol) in a 25 ml Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave was kept at 423 K for three days. Green crystals were obtained after cooling to room temperature with a yield of 35%. Anal. Calc. for  $C_{10}H_{10}N_4Ni$ : C 35.19, H 2.93, N 16.42%; Found: C 35.11, H 2.97, N 16.38%.

#### Refinement

The H atoms of the water molecule were located from difference density maps and were refined with distance restraints of d(H-H) = 1.38 (2)Å and d(O-H) = 0.82 (2)Å. All other H atoms were placed in calculated positions with a C—H bond distance of 0.93 Å and  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}$  of the respective carrier atom.

#### Figures



Fig. 1. The molecular structure of (I) with the 30% probability displacement ellipsoids. Symmetry operator i: 1 x, y, z + 1.



Fig. 2. The packing diagram of the title compound along the direction [010].

## Bis(pyrazine-2-carboxylato- $\kappa^2 N^1, O^2$ )nickel(II) dihydrate

Crystal data	
$[Ni(C_5H_3N_2O_2)_2]\cdot 2H_2O$	Z = 1
$M_r = 340.93$	$F_{000} = 174$
Triclinic, <i>P</i> T	$D_{\rm x} = 1.632 \ {\rm Mg \ m^{-3}}$
Hall symbol: -P 1	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
<i>a</i> = 5.5576 (6) Å	Cell parameters from 1208 reflections
<i>b</i> = 7.3252 (9) Å	$\theta = 2.3 - 25.0^{\circ}$
c = 9.3021 (11)  Å	$\mu = 1.43 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\alpha = 75.065 \ (2)^{\circ}$	T = 293 (2) K
$\beta = 84.298 \ (2)^{\circ}$	Cube, green
$\gamma = 71.503 \ (2)^{\circ}$	$0.10\times0.10\times0.10\ mm$
V = 346.93 (7) Å <sup>3</sup>	

#### Data collection

Bruker APEX II CCD area-detector diffractometer	1208 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	1111 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Monochromator: graphite	$R_{\rm int} = 0.050$
T = 293(2)  K	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.0^{\circ}$
$\phi$ and $\omega$ scans	$\theta_{\min} = 2.3^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2001)	$h = -6 \rightarrow 5$
$T_{\min} = 0.870, \ T_{\max} = 0.870$	$k = -8 \rightarrow 8$
1705 measured reflections	$l = -8 \rightarrow 11$

#### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Least-squares matrix: full	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.039$	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$wR(F^2) = 0.096$	$w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.0571P)^{2}]$ where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$
S = 1.00	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
1208 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.54 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

103 parameters

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.51 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 

3 restraints

Extinction correction: none

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods

#### Special details

**Geometry**. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

				TT 4/TT
	x	У	Z	$U_{\rm iso}*/U_{\rm eq}$
Ni1	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	0.0297 (2)
C1	0.4654 (6)	0.1781 (4)	1.0641 (4)	0.0332 (7)
C2	0.5285 (6)	0.3005 (4)	0.9152 (4)	0.0317 (7)
C3	0.3444 (6)	0.4682 (5)	0.8416 (4)	0.0385 (8)
Н3	0.1797	0.5093	0.8789	0.046*
C4	0.6886 (8)	0.4949 (5)	0.6507 (4)	0.0481 (9)
H4	0.7394	0.5640	0.5604	0.058*
C5	0.8593 (7)	0.3250 (5)	0.7289 (4)	0.0412 (8)
Н5	1.0228	0.2770	0.6919	0.049*
N1	0.7810 (5)	0.2302 (4)	0.8615 (3)	0.0326 (6)
N2	0.4262 (7)	0.5699 (5)	0.7071 (4)	0.0652 (10)
O1	0.6702 (4)	0.0410 (3)	1.1256 (2)	0.0378 (5)
O2	0.2286 (4)	0.2137 (3)	1.1166 (3)	0.0455 (6)
O1W	0.2352 (8)	0.0433 (7)	0.4397 (4)	0.1007 (13)
H1W	0.212 (13)	0.087 (10)	0.347 (3)	0.151*
H2W	0.369 (8)	-0.051 (8)	0.455 (7)	0.151*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(A^2)$ 

#### Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Ni1	0.0229 (3)	0.0247 (3)	0.0327 (3)	-0.0004 (2)	0.0048 (2)	-0.0021 (2)
C1	0.0315 (17)	0.0276 (15)	0.0401 (18)	-0.0068 (13)	0.0029 (13)	-0.0115 (13)
C2	0.0307 (16)	0.0260 (15)	0.0393 (18)	-0.0074 (13)	0.0009 (13)	-0.0114 (13)
C3	0.0374 (18)	0.0293 (16)	0.0450 (19)	-0.0040 (14)	-0.0017 (14)	-0.0100 (14)
C4	0.061 (2)	0.0363 (18)	0.041 (2)	-0.0155 (17)	-0.0010 (17)	0.0021 (15)
C5	0.0383 (18)	0.0409 (18)	0.0406 (19)	-0.0125 (15)	0.0048 (15)	-0.0046 (15)
N1	0.0315 (14)	0.0272 (13)	0.0365 (15)	-0.0072 (11)	0.0026 (11)	-0.0065 (11)
N2	0.074 (3)	0.0471 (19)	0.067 (2)	-0.0094 (17)	-0.0119 (19)	-0.0083 (17)

# supplementary materials

01	0.0340 (12)	0.0307 (11)	0.0390 (13)	-0.0014 (9)	0.0043 (10)	-0.0043 (10)						
02	0.0343 (13)	0.0406 (13)	0.0530 (15)	-0.0033 (10)	0.0137 (11)	-0.0121 (11)						
OIW	0.106 (3)	0.127 (4)	0.059 (2)	-0.051 (3)	-0.002 (2)	0.014 (2)						
Geometric param	neters (Å, °)											
Ni1—N1		1.968 (3)	C3	—N2		1.395 (5)						
Ni1—N1 <sup>i</sup>		1.968 (3)	C3	—Н3		0.9300						
Ni1—O1 <sup>i</sup>		2.054 (2)	C4	—C5		1.378 (5)						
Ni1—O1		2.054 (2)	C4	—N2		1.481 (5)						
C101		1.319 (4)	C4	—H4		0.9300						
C1—O2		1.326 (4)	C5	—N1		1.355 (4)						
C1—C2		1.519 (4)	C5	—Н5		0.9300						
С2—С3		1.390 (5)	01	W—H1W		0.84 (2)						
C2—N1		1.421 (4)	01	W—H2W		0.83 (5)						
N1—Ni1—N1 <sup>i</sup>		180.00 (14)	N2—C3—H3		С3—НЗ 122.6							
N1—Ni1—O1 <sup>i</sup>		99.06 (9)	C5—C4—N2			121.5 (3)						
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Ni1—O1 <sup>i</sup>		80.94 (9)	C5—C4—H4		25—С4—Н4 119.3							
N1—Ni1—O1		80.94 (9)	N2	N2—C4—H4 119.3		119.3						
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Ni1—O1		99.06 (9)	N1C5C4		N1—C5—C4 117							
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Ni1—O1		180.0	N1—C5—H5		N1—C5—H5							
O1—C1—O2		127.9 (3)	C4—C5—H5		С4—С5—Н5			121.1				
O1—C1—C2		111.3 (2)	C5—N1—C2		C5—N1—C2		C5—N1—C2		C5—N1—C2			120.9 (3)
O2—C1—C2		120.8 (3)	C5—N1—Ni1		C5—N1—Ni1		C5—N1—Ni1			124.8 (2)		
C3—C2—N1		124.7 (3)	C2	C2—N1—Ni1		114.3 (2)						
C3—C2—C1		120.0 (3)	C3—N2—C4			120.5 (3)						
N1—C2—C1		115.3 (3)	C1			117.65 (19)						
C2—C3—N2		114.7 (3)	H1	W—O1W—H2W		110 (3)						
С2—С3—Н3		122.6										

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+2, -y, -z+2.

## Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	D—H··· $A$
O1W—H2W···O1W <sup>ii</sup>	0.83 (5)	2.52 (6)	3.071 (9)	125 (6)
O1W—H1W···O2 <sup>iii</sup>	0.84 (2)	2.11 (3)	2.941 (4)	167 (7)
Summatry adday (ii) $w \mid 1$ $w = 1 \mid 1$ (iii) $w = 1$				

Symmetry codes: (ii) -x+1, -y, -z+1; (iii) x, y, z-1.



Fig. 2

